

RECEIVED

Allen J. Fiksdal  
Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council  
PO Box 43172,  
Olympia, WA, 98504-3172

MAY 02 2000

April 28, 2000

ENERGY FACILITY SITE  
EVALUATION COUNCIL

Subject: Summas Energy 2DEIS  
Generalities & Comments

Dear Sir;

Thanks for your notification and attention reviewing comments in the subject.

During the apr. 3, Bellingham public meeting the writer witnessed comments made to Energy 2Gen facility development, by residents who are living north and south of international border, near to or in the Summas community.

All speakers with a few exception expressed a rigid opposition and they were up in arms against 2GEN facility development. This unified refusal most likely stems from the problems, the public experienced with the alleged particulate emission control failure produced by the 1GEN facility along with an objectionable noise level generated in the region.

The prospect of a best available construction technology application in the Summas Energy 2DEIS print was received with a ruling skepticism.

After all, it must be admitted that the site selected for the 2GEN facility is not a first class choice because; Summas region is a farming land where crops, animal feed and vegetation for human consumption is grown, extremely sensitive to stack emission contaminants.

2GEN facility will be placed in the vicinity of a highway stretch with busy commuter traffic north of the border. Visibility reduction, icing due to condensation of plume on road surface, may cause unforeseen complications.

After construction of 2GEN facility, a noise level increment can be expected in the Summas Huntingdon residential area.

For continuation, please see DEIS comment form.

Sincerely

A. Vitalis

Andre Vitalis P.Eng

# Sumas Energy 2 Generation Facility DEIS Comment Form

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2000

## Draft EIS Public Meetings

Whatcom County Council Chambers  
Bellingham, WA April 3, 2000

ENERGY FACILITY SITE  
EVALUATION COUNCIL  
American Legion Post #12  
Sumas, WA April 4, 2000

Please fill this comment form out and leave it at the door before you leave. Be sure to include your name and address. Comments may also be submitted to EFSEC in writing until the close of the comment period (postmarked by April 17, 2000). Please use the back of this form or additional sheets of paper if necessary. If you mail your comments, please send them to the Lead Agency Responsible Official, Allen Fiskdal:

Allen Fiskdal, EFSEC Manager, PO Box 43172, Olympia, WA 98504-3172

NAME: Andre Vitzau

ADDRESS: #107-13316 71B AV. Surrey, BC V3W7Z4 Canada

COMMENTS:

1. A system of emission monitoring be designed and implemented, north and south of international border. Calibrated instruments to record ground level build-up of contaminants, readings verify compliance with emission standards, and the working effectiveness of stack control. 5
2. Dry cooling system with cooling coils at base of tower to be designed with induced and auxiliary forced draft, tower have sufficient height to provide an efficient spread of wet plume, at the elevated exit. 6
3. Noise abatement to be applied on turbine/generator shells and on building enclosure walls to reduce noise level to a minimum. 7
4. In case of an alternate site location may be considered for the 2GEN facility, the Skagit Valley, Sedro Wooley area is recommended with more favorable conditions than the Sumas region. As you may be aware of the fact that this area was considered for a nuclear generating facility site, in the past years of mid. ninety seventies. 8